

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,738	03/26/2004	Louis C. Haddad	59343US003	9703
32692 7590 12/31/2007 3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 3342	7	VENCI, I	VENCI, DAVID J	
ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1641	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/31/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

LegalUSDocketing@mmm.com LegalDocketing@mmm.com

		,			
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/810,738	HADDAD ET AL.			
* Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	David J. Venci	1641			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED November 26, 2007 FAILS TO PLACE TH	THE REPLY FILED November 26, 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. 					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lat may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(INOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. extension and the corresponding amount is shortened statutory period for reply originer than three months after the mailing day. In pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as the of the final rejection, even if timely filed, filed within two months of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because					
(a) ⊠ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for					
appeal; and/or					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: Applicants' amendment to independent claims 1 and 15 adding the passive phrase "the capture sites are either covalently attached or hydrophobically attached" raises new issues under 35 U.S.C. §112 and requires reconsideration of prior art rejections					
under 35 U.S.C. §102. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		ompliant Amendment (1 102-324).			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the					
non-allowable claim(s). 7. ☑ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none.					
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13,15,32 and 33</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>14 and 16-31</u> . <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See supra</u> , note 3.					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					
13. Other: LONG V. LE 12/26/07					
	SUP	ERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600